

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/633,605 | NIKITIN ET AL. | |

| | | |
|----------------|----------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Kara E. Geisel | 2877 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kara E. Geisel.

(3) _____.

(2) Ilya Zborovsky, attorney of record.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

1, 4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

none.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim language was discussed to keep the amendment from being non-compliant. See office action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)